IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE FIFTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 372 of 2001

Between:

Mirza Quader Baig, S/o. Mirza Mehabub Baig, 32 Years,

occ: Business, H.No. 20-3-578/B, Hussainialam, Hyderabad.
and authorised person Mr. Ahmed Akramulla Shareef,

S/o. Md. Manzoor Shareef, 35 years, occ: Profession,
r/o H.No. 20-3-396, Moosabowli, Hussainialam, Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Asst. Engineer, Distribution-IX, city-III, A.P. TRANSCO (APSCB) Hussainalam, Hyderabad.
2 The Asst. Divisional Engineer, Dist IX Charminar Sub-Division, City III, APSEB, Charminar, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue writ or direction preferably writ of mandamus declaring the proceedings issued by respondent No.1 vide Lr.No.ADE/DIX/CIII/AEI Hussainialam, section 80 D.NO. 70 dt.10-8-2000 and Assessment notice No. ADE/DTX/DIE/Direct/D.No.396/2000 dt. 18-9-2000 are illegal, arbitrary, and violative of principles of natural justice and consequently direct the respondents to consider the request of the petitioner for grant of new connection to his premises bearing No.20-3-578/B Taramaidan, Hussainialam, Hyderabad.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.KOWTURU VINAYA KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondents: MR.K.N.JWALA

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the subject matter of the writ petition has become infructuous.

Recording the said submission, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. No order as to costs.

_____ R.SUBHASH REDDY, J

05.07.2005

DR

To

1 The Asst. Engineer, Distribution-IX, city-III, A.P. TRANSCO (APSCB) Hussainalam, Hyderabad.
2 The Asst. Divisional Engineer, Dist IX Charminar Sub-Division, City III, APSEB, Charminar, Hyderabad.

3 2 CD copies